IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLN.NO.2046 OF 2007

Mukesh Talreja ..Applicant

Vs.

The Sr.Inspector of Police
E.O.C. Crime Br.Mumbai
and Anr. ..Respondents

...

Mr.S.B.Jaisinghani counsel with Mr.S.L.Maneshinde counsel
for applicant
Mr.S.S.Pednekar A.P.P. for the State

...

CORAM: SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.
DATE : JUNE 22, 2007

P.C.

1. Not on board. Mentioned due to urgency. Taken on board.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the applicant and the learned A.P.P. for the State. The learned A.P.P. seeks time upto 2.7.2007. However the learned counsel for the applicant states that in the meantime, the applicant may be protected. In my view, the following order should meet the ends of justice:

3. In case, the Investigating Agency is of the opinion that the custody of the applicant is necessary, they shall give 72 hours notice prior to his arrest. If such notice is received by the applicant, applicant to prefer necessary application for anticipatory bail before the concerned Sessions Court.

4. Application is disposed of.

[SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI, J.]